IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.
CRIMINAL APPLICATION No.1719 of 2007

Amit Agrawal ..Applicant.

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent.

Mr A.M. Saraogi, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr Rajesh More, APP for the State.

CORAM: V. C. DAGA,J.
DATED: 29. 08. 2007.

P.C.:-

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State.

2. Perused application and the material available on record.

3. The applicant is seeking bail in CR No. 121 of 2005 registered with E.O.W. J.B.C.B. C.I.D. Crime Branch for the offence under Sections 420,465,467,468,471 of the I.P.C.

4. After having perused the statements of the witnesses placed on record, it is not possible to say that there is absolutely no material on record to connect the accused-applicant with the offence alleged. Prima facie material, thus, exists.

5. Investigation is still in progress. It is also necessary for the Investigating Officer to investigate as to from which source the forged negotiable instruments have come. Custodial investigation may help to investigate offence. In this view of the matter, in my view, custodial interrogation is necessary.

6. In the result, application is rejected.

7. Any observation made in this order shall not be construed as any finding or any expression of opinion on the merits of the case at the time of trial.

(V.C. DAGA,J.)